National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners

Incorporated

CHERYL L. PARRINO, President Wisconsin Public Service Commission Post Office Box 7854 Madison, Wisconsin 53707-7854

BRUCE B. ELLSWORTH, First Vice President New Hampshire Public Utilties Commission

JOLYNN BARRY BUTLER, Second Vice President
Ohio Public Utilities Commission

William F. Caton
Acting Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554



PAUL RODGERS

Executive Director and General Counsel

GAILE ARGIRO

Treasurer



AUG - 9 1996

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF SECRETARY

DOCKET FILE COPY URIGINAL

RE: ERRATUM - In the Matter of Federal State Joint Board on Universal - Service CC Docket No. 96-45

Dear Mr. Caton:

On August 2, 1996, the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners ("NARUC") filed responses to the FCC's July 3, 1996 Public Notice seeking additional input on 71 questions, which issued in the above-caption proceeding.

NARUC's comments are funneled through the Washington Offices. The forms and services lists for filing at the FCC used by NARUC staff incorporate my name. In the press of business last Friday, I inadvertently appended my signature to those comments.

Accordingly, this errata notice is to note that all references to my name - the cover of the comments and the signature page of the comments - were inadvertently included and should be eliminated from the record with regard to NARUC's pleadings.

For your convenience, I have arranged to attach a revised version of those pleadings for the record to this letter.

If you have any questions or comments, please do not hesitate to give me a call.

Sincerely

James Bradford Ramsay

Deputy Assistant General Counsel

1201 Constitution Ave., N.W., Suite 1102, Washington, D.C. 20423
Mailing Address: Post Office Box 684, Washington, D.C. 20044-0684
Telephone: 202-898-2200 Fax: 202-898-2213

COMMITTEES OF THE ASSOCIATION FOR THE 1995-1996 YEAR

COMMITTEE ON COMMUNICATIONS (1941)

Lisa Rosenblum,* New York PSC, Chair Kenneth McClure,* Missouri PSC, Vice Chair Stephen O. Hewlett, Tennessee Sharon L. Nelson,* Washington Bruce Hagen,* North Dakota Andrew C. Barrett,* FCC Preston C. Shannon, Virginia Nancy M. Norling,* Delaware David W. Rolka,* Pennsylvania James J. Malachowski, Rhode Island Charles B. Martin, Alabama Laska Schoenfelder, South Dakota G. Richard Klein, Indiana Jolynn Barry Butler,* Ohio Jean-Marc Demers, Quebec TB, Observer Chervl L. Parrino.* Wisconsin Edward H. Salmon, New Jersey Sam I. Bratton, Jr., Arkansas Julia Johnson, Florida Don Schroer, Alaska Daniel G. Urwiller, Nebraska Irma Muse Dixon, Louisiana Joan H. Smith, Oregon Bob Rowe, Montana Vincent Maikowski, Colorado Thomas L. Welch, Maine P. Gregory Conlon, California David N. Baker, Georgia Allan T. Thoms, Iowa Adam M. Golodner, RUS, Observer Gloria Tristani, New Mexico SCC Doug Doughty, Wyoming Peter L. Senchuk, Canadian RTC, Observer

COMMITTEE ON ELECTRICITY (1953) Robert W. Gee,* Texas PUC, Chair Duncan E. Kincheloe, Missouri PSC, Vice Chair Patricia S. Qualls.* Arkansas Judith C. Allan, Ontario EB, Observer Warren D. Arthur, IV, South Carolina Emmit J. George, Jr., Iowa Marsha H. Smith, Idaho Susan F. Clark, Florida James Sullivan * Alabama Donald A. Storm, Minnesota PUC Hullihen W. Moore,* Virginia Lisa Crutchfield, Pennsylvania David E. Ziegner, Indiana Lawrence B. Ingram,* New Mexico PUC Linda Breathitt, Kentucky PSC Agnes M. Alexander, District of Columbia Vicky A. Bailey,* FERC Allyson K. Duncan, North Carolina Herbert H. Tate, New Jersey Curt Hebert, Jr., Mississippi Cody L. Graves, Oklahoma Judy M. Sheldrew, Nevada Douglas L. Patch, New Hampshire Richard Hemstad, Washington Daniel Wm. Fessler,* California Christine E.M. Alvarez, Colorado John O'Mara, New York PSC Brian F. Bietz, Alberta EUB, Observer Constance B. White, Utah John G. Strand, Michigan Wally B. Beyer, RUS, Observer

Edward J. Robertson, Ontario EB, Observer

COMMITTEE ON ENERGY CONSERVATION (1984)

Richard H. Cowart,* Vermont, Chair Renz D. Jennings,* Arizona, Vice Chair Ron Eachus.* Oregon Allan G. Mueller, Missouri Edward M. Meyers, District of Columbia William D. Cotter, New York PSC Mac Barber, Georgia Bob Anderson,* Montana Craig A. Glazer,* Ohio William M. Nugent, Maine Scott A. Neitzel, Wisconsin Karl A. McDermott, Illinois John F. Mendoza, Nevada Susan E. Wefald, North Dakota John Hanger, Pennsylvania James A. Burg, * South Dakota Susanne Brogan, Maryland William R. Gillis, Washington Andrew Rutnik, Virgin Islands Judy Hunt, North Carolina David A. Vardy, Newfoundland and Labrador BCPU, Observer Christine A. Ervin, U.S. DOE Janet Gail Besser, Massachusetts Wayne Shirley, New Mexico PSC Christine Elwell, Ontario EB, Observer Judith B. Simon, Ontario EB, Observer

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE AND TECHNOLOGY (1985)

Ralph Nelson,* Idaho, Chair Roger Hamilton, Oregon, Vice Chair Marcia G. Weeks, Arizona J. Terry Deason,* Florida Thomas M. Benedict, Connecticut Tom Burton, Minnesota PUC E. Mason Hendrickson, Maryland Mary Jo Huffman, Indiana Walter L. Challenger, Virgin Islands Dwight D. Ornquist, Alaska Kathleen B. Blanco, Louisiana Susan M. Seltsam, Kansas Robert J. McMahon, Delaware Gerald L. Thorpe, Maryland Richard E. Kolhauser, Illinois Lowell C. Johnson, Nebraska G. Nanette Thompson, Alaska Janet Polinsky, Connecticut John C. Shea, Michigan M. Dianne Drainer, Missouri PSC Donald L. Soderberg, Nevada Rhonda Hartman Fergus, Ohio F. Anne Drozd, Ontario EB. Observer

COMMITTEE ON GAS (1963)

Ruth K. Kretschmer,* Illinois, Chair
Paul E. Hanaway,* Rhode Island, Vice Chair
Bruce B. Ellsworth,* New Hampshire
Joshua M. Twilley, Delaware
Leo M. Reinbold, North Dakota
Roland Priddle, Canada, Observer
Nancy Shimanek Boyd, Iowa
Bob Anthony, Oklahoma
Julius D. Kearney, Arkansas
Jo Ann P. Kelly, Nevada
Laurence A. Cobb, North Carolina

Keith Bissell,* Tennessee Robert-Paul Chauvelot, Quebec GB, Observer John M. Quain, Pennsylvania Barry Williamson, Texas RC R. Marshall Johnson, Minnesota PUC Philip T. Bradley, South Carolina Donald F. Santa, Jr., FERC Dharmendra K. Sharma, U.S. DOT Reginald J. Smith, Connecticut A. Calista Barfett, Alberta EUB, Observer Jessie J. Knight, Jr., California Robert J. Hix, Colorado Joe Garcia, Florida Stancil O. "Stan" Wise, Jr., Georgia Steve Ellenbecker, Wyoming Frank J. Mink, Alberta EUB, Observer Harold D. Crumpton, Missouri PSC Timothy E. McKee, Kansas Clark D. Jones, Utah Richard M. Fanelly, Ohio Paul Vlahos, Ontario EB, Observer

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION (1983)

Frank E. Landis, Jr.,* Nebraska, *Chair* Claude M. Ligon, Maryland R. Henry Spalding, Kentucky RC William A. Bailey, Kentucky RC Cecil A. Bowers, South Carolina Jerome D. Block, New Mexico SCC Joseph Jacob Simmons, III,* ICC Ralph A. Hunt, North Carolina William M. Dickson, Illinois Stephen R. Waters, Missouri Dennis S. Hansen, Idaho

COMMITTEE ON WATER (1967)

Diane K. Kiesling,* Florida, Chair Galen D. Denio, Nevada, Vice Chair Charles H. Hughes, North Carolina Robert M. Davis, Kentucky PSC Jan Cook, Alabama Mary Clark Webster, Massachusetts David W. Johnson, Ohio Kate F. Racine, Rhode Island Suzanne D. Rude, Vermont Susan S. Geiger, New Hampshire Peggy Sue Garner, Texas NRCC F.S. Jack Alexander, Kansas Rod Johnson, Nebraska Carmen J. Armenti, New Jersey John F. "Jack" Mortell, Indiana William Saunders, South Carolina Dorlos (Bo) Robinson, Mississippi Alyce Hanley, Alaska Henry M. Duque, California Betty Rivera, New Mexico PUC

^{*}Member of the Executive Committee of the Association

BEFORE THE FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

AUU – 9 1996

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMUNICATIONS
OFFICE OF SECRETAR

In the Matter of

Federal State Joint Board on Universal Service

CC Docket No. 96-45

COMMENTS OF THE NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REGULATORY UTILITY COMMISSIONERS

PAUL RODGERS General Counsel

CHARLES D. GRAY
Assistant General Counsel

National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners

1201 Constitution Avenue Suite 1102 Post Office Box 684 Washington, D.C. 20044

(202) 898-2200

August 2, 1996

BEFORE THE FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of

CC Docket No. 96-45

Federal State Joint Board on Universal Service

COMMENTS OF THE NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REGULATORY UTILITY COMMISSIONERS

Pursuant to the Federal Communications Commission's ("FCC" or "Commission") Rules of Practice and Procedure, 47 C.F.R. §§ 1.49, 1.41, and 1.415 (1995), the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners¹ ("NARUC") respectfully submits the following comments in response to the FCC's July 3, 1996 Public Notice ("NOTICE") seeking additional input on 71 questions, which issued in the above-caption proceeding.

I. RESPONSES

Because of the State's strong interest in Universal Service issues, and the related notion of comprehensive review, NARUC has taken positions on a some of the issues raised by the notice.

Indeed, during our recent meetings, held last month in Los
Angeles, NARUC passed another resolution relevant to these proceedings. That resolution reaffirms the following:

O Any universal service scheme that is adopted by the Federal Communications Commission should not undermine the States' flexibility to respond to unique local conditions;

¹ The four State Commissioners serving on the § 254 Joint Board convened in this proceeding did not participate in the drafting, discussion, or final review of these pleadings.

- The funds and the mechanisms necessary to support the federal universal service requirements, as defined consistent with the Act, must be funded only through the contributions of providers of interstate telecommunications services; and
- The Joint Board should examine alternative methods of recovering the non-traffic sensitive costs currently recovered by the interstate carrier common line charge in a manner other than increasing the subscriber line charge.

A copy of the resolution is attached for your information and review.

The third affirmation listed, <u>supra</u>, and previous NARUC pleadings, provide the basis for the following responses to questions 69 and 70 concerning the Subscriber Line and CCL charges:

Question 69: If a portion of the CCL charge represents a subsidy to support universal service, what is the total amount of the subsidy? Please provide supporting evidence to substantiate such estimates.

NARUC Response: The CCL charge is not a subsidy.

Loop plant, and associated network facilities, are used to provide both local and toll services. Interexchange carriers should pay a portion of the NTS loop cost, and the associated network expenses, because they use the loop plant to provide their services. The CCL charge represents the recovery of an appropriately allocated portion of the embedded costs of providing loops to residential and business customers. As such, it is not a subsidy. A subsidy only occurs if a service is priced at less than its long run marginal cost.

Indeed, Commission decisions in Maine, New Hampshire and Washington State have, base upon extensive record evidence, have determined that current residential and business rates for local exchange service exceed their long run marginal cost for these services. According, the CCL charge can not represent a subsidy to such services.

Question 70: If a portion of the CCL charge represents a contribution to the recovery of loop costs, please identify and discuss alternatives to the CCL charge for recovery of those costs from all interstate telecommunications service providers (e.g., bulk billing, flat rate/per-line charge).

NARUC Response: A flat rate charge on presubscribed IXC.

An alternative to using the CCL charge for recovery was expressed in detail on pages 12-17 of NARUC's initial comments in this docket. In summary, NARUC suggested that, in the future, costs currently recovered through the CCL, and subscriber line charge, could be recovered via a flat per line charge assessed on the presubscribed interexchange carrier for each subscriber line.

III. CONCLUSION

In response to communications reform, NARUC has focused upon principles to establish an appropriate Federal-State regulatory framework and appropriate Universal Service policies. When the text of the FCC's order in CC Docket 96-98 becomes available, NARUC may find it necessary to file additional ex parte comments modifying/supplementing its previously stated positions.

In the interim, in light of acknowledged State concerns, we respectfully request that the Joint Board carefully consider and incorporate NARUC's positions, as outlined <u>infra</u>, in any recommendations to the FCC.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Paul Rodgers

PAUL RODGERS General Counsel

/s/ Charles D. Gray

CHARLES D. GRAY
Assistant General Counsel

National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners

1201 Constitution Avenue Post Office Box 6848 Washington, D.C. 20044

(202) 898-2200

August 2, 1996

Universal Service Resolution

WHEREAS, Federal-State cooperative efforts are essential to maintaining and advancing universal service; and

WHEREAS, The States have a critical and important role to play in preserving and advancing universal service; and

WHEREAS, Any universal service scheme that is adopted by the Federal Communications Commission should not undermine the States' flexibility to respond to unique local conditions; and

WHEREAS, The universal service Joint Board (convened in CC No. 96-45) should examine alternative methods of recovering the non-traffic sensitive costs currently recovered by the interstate carrier common line charge in a manner other than increasing the subscriber line charge; and

WHEREAS, The funds and the mechanisms necessary to support the federal universal service requirements, as defined consistent with the Act, must be funded only through the contributions of providers of interstate telecommunications services; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners (NARUC), convened at its 1996 Summer Meeting in Los Angeles, California, authorizes its General Counsel to take any actions necessary to further the goals enunciated in this resolution.